

# Object

---

1893-5 [c.] | Chapter II: The Categories | NEM 4:309-10; CP 1.339

---

A sign stands *for* something *to* the idea which it produces, or modifies. Or, it is a vehicle conveying into the mind something from without. That for which it stands is called its *Object*; that which it conveys, its *Meaning*; and the idea to which it gives rise, its *Interpretant*. The object of representation can be nothing but a representation of which the first representation is the interpretant. But an endless series of representations, each representing the one behind it, may be conceived to have an absolute object at its limit.

---

1895 | Short Logic: Chapter I. Of Reasoning in General | EP 2:13

---

A *sign* is a thing which serves to convey knowledge of some other thing, which it is said to *stand for* or *represent*. This thing is called the *object* of the sign; the idea in the mind that the sign excites, which is a mental sign of the same object, is called an *interpretant* of the sign.

---

1902 [c.] | Reason's Rules | MS [R] 599:30-31

---

...I shall endeavor consistently to employ the word 'object' [...] to mean that which a sign, so far as it fulfills the function of a sign, enables one who knows the sign, and knows it as a sign, to know.

---

1903 [c.] | Foundations of Mathematics [R] | MS [R] 9:1

---

A sign is intended to correspond to a real thing, or fact, or to something relatively real; and this *object* of the sign may be the very sign itself, as when a map is precisely superposed upon that which it maps. It is a perfection of the sign if it separately represents its object; in which case it becomes a proposition and is true or false.

---

1904 | On the Foundations of Mathematics | MS [R] 8:4

---

Every sign is regarded as having an *object*, or real thing to which it corresponds. But though regarded as having an object, it does not cease to be a sign because no such object really exists.

---

1905 | The Basis of Pragmaticism | MS [R] 283

---

[A sign] must be determined to correspond, according to some principle, and by some species of causation, with something else, called its *Object*. In a word, whether physically, rationally, or otherwise directly or indirectly, its Object, as agent, acts upon the sign, as patient.

1905 | An Attempt to state systematically the Doctrine of the Census in Geometrical Topics or Topical Geometry, more commonly called "Topologie" in German books; Being A Mathematical-Logical Recreation of C. S. Peirce following the lead of J. B. Listing's paper in the "Göttinger Abhandlungen" | MS [R] 145(s)

---

The object is something external to and independent of the sign which determines in the sign an element corresponding to itself; so that we have to distinguish the quasi-real object from the presented object; or as we may say, the external from the internal object. And the external object as it is in itself is to be distinguished from the feature of the external object that is represented.

1906 [c.] | On the System of Existential Graphs Considered as an Instrument for the Investigation of Logic | MS [R] 499

---

...a sign is a something which is on the one hand caused or otherwise determined by something else which is not utterly and altogether unreal, – this something else being the object of the sign. When I say that the object is not altogether unreal, I mean this. If a thing has whatever characters it has utterly regardless of what any men existing either now or at any assignable future date may opine that its characters are, that thing is, by definition, perfectly real. But in so far as it is whatever the thinker may think it to be, it is unreal. Now I say that the object of a sign must resist in some measure any tendency it may have to be as the thinker thinks it. [—]

The object is the sign's *determinant*...

1906 [c.] | On the System of Existential Graphs Considered as an Instrument for the Investigation of Logic | MS [R] 499(s)

---

The Object of a Sign is its progenitor, its father.

1907 | Pragmatism | CP 5.473

---

That thing which causes a sign as such is called the *object* (according to the usage of speech, the "real," but more accurately, the *existent* object) represented by the sign: the sign is determined to some species of correspondence with that object.

1907 | Pragmatism | MS [R] 318:14-5

---

...the essential nature of a sign is that it mediates between its Object which is supposed to determine it and to be, in some sense, the cause of it, and its Meaning, or, as I prefer to say, in order to avoid certain ambiguities, its *Interpretant*, which is determined by the sign; and is, in a sense, the effect of it; and which the sign represents to flow as an influence, from the Object. [—] So far, so good: the Object, the determinant of the Sign, and the Meaning, or Interpretant, that which the sign, *as such*, determines, its effect.

1907 | Pragmatism | MS [R] 318:26

---

...the object is the antecedent of the meaning [...] the object is the idea or thing that the sign finds, the meaning what it leaves.

1907 | Pragmatism | MS [R] 318:13-4

---

...any sign, of whatsoever kind, professes to mediate between an Object, on the one hand, that to which it applies, and which is thus in a sense the cause of the sign, and, on the other hand, a Meaning, or to use a preferable technical term, an *Interpretant*, that which the sign expresses, the result which it produces in its capacity as sign. [—] The sole function of the object is identification...

1907 [c.] | Pragmatism | MS [R] 320:7

---

...in all general inquiries about signs nothing is of more lively importance than maintaining a clear and sharp distinction between the object, or professed cause of the sign, and the meaning, or intended effect of it.

1909 | Letters to William James | EP 2:492

---

A Sign is a Cognizable that, on the one hand, is so determined (i.e., specialized, *bestimmt*) by something *other than itself*, called its Object (or, in some cases, as if the Sign be the sentence "Cain killed Abel," in which Cain and Abel are equally Partial Objects, it may be more convenient to say that that which determines the Sign is the Complexus, or Totality, of Partial Objects. And in every case the Object is accurately the Universe of which the Special Object is member, or part), while, on the other hand, it so determines some actual or potential Mind, the determination whereof I term the Interpretant created by the Sign, that that Interpreting Mind is therein determined mediately by the Object.

1909 | Meaning Preface | MS [R] 637:31

---

[The Sign can only] represent the Object and tell about it. It cannot furnish acquaintance with or recognition of that Object; for that is what is meant in this volume by the Object of a Sign; namely, that with which it presupposes an acquaintance in order to convey some further information concerning it.

1909 | Essays on Meaning. Preface | MS [R] 640:9

---

By the *Object* of a Sign, as the term is used by the present writer, is meant that to which the Sign applies but which it does not express otherwise than through some other Sign, or through collateral experience, or through an indication of how the interpreter of it may proceed in order to identify it.

1911.10.20 | Notes on Logical Critique of the Essential Articles of Religious Faith | MS [R] 854

---

*Nature of a Sign.* Its Object is all that the Sign *recognizes*; since the Sign cannot be understood until the Object is *already* identically known, though it may be indefinite. If so, it need only be known in its indefiniteness.

nd | Reflections on Real and Unreal Objects [CP] | MS [R] 966

---

By an *object*, I mean anything that we can think, *i.e.* anything we can talk about.

nd | Miscellaneous Fragments [R] | MS [R] S104

---

...the thing which by virtue of the representation is made a quasi-agent, that is, the *Object* represented...